

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,541	07/23/2003	Moon-Gyu Lee	Q75286	7513
23373	7590 12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20037		2871	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• "							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/624,541	LEE ET AL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dung Nguyen	2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimur riod will apply and will expire SIX (atute, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co				
Status				•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	.					
·	-	This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideratio		·			
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on $_$ is/are: a) \square	accepted or b)□ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been receive nents have been receive priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National).	Stage			
Attachmen	it(e)						
Attachmen 1) Notice	n(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Inte	erview Summary (PTO-413)				
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	Pap (/08) 5) [Not	ice of Informal Patent Application (PTC er:)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/624,541

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species (a) a liquid crystal display (LCD) device having a selective reflection panel (claims 1-8, figure 2).

Species (b) an LCD device having an optical panel (claims 9-17, figures 3 and 5).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,541

Art Unit: 2871

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DN 12/08/2004

Dung Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2871